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Ground-based 3μm spectroscopy
The data

Titan 20011121 combined spectra
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WINDOW TO SURFACE
Features formed at a variety of altitudes

- HCN and CH$_4$ emission is high altitude fluorescence
- CH$_3$D absorption forms at low altitudes
- CH$_4$ emission/absorption lines present/absent at band center but absent/present at band edge!
Radiative Transfer Model

81 plane-parallel layers from surface to $10^{-10}$ bar

Radiative transfer adopted from Chamberlain (1987)

Insert clouds/haze (continuum absorbing/reflecting layers) at various altitudes (ignore multiple scattering).

Use Voyager T-P profile for low altitudes (below 1 mbar). Use high altitude profile (similar to Yelle 1991) derived from CH$_4$ emission spectrum (Kim Geballe, & Noll 2000) assuming constant mixing ratio at high altitude.

In each layer calculate vibrational excitation by radiation from attenuated sunlight and adjacent layers, and deexcitation by collisions and spontaneous emission. Assume pressure-broadened linewidths and include self-absorption.

KGN (2000)
HCN emission and C$_2$H$_2$ absorption

Previous analysis by us Geballe et al. (2002) suggested that HCN might be highly overabundant in the mesosphere, but used far too high a collisional deexcitation rate, as pointed out by Yelle and Griffith (2003).

Our re-analysis of line intensities indicates HCN emission occurs over a temperature range of 140-180K (300-600 km). Together, these changes yield an HCN mixing ratio consistent with previous atmospheric models and with Y&G (2003).

The stratospheric C$_2$H$_2$ abundance must be drastically less than in most mixing ratio curves in the literature in order to reduce line emission and produce net absorption, as observed.
Evidence for high altitude clouds and/or haze

No clouds or haze:
absorption is totally saturated – no continuum

Opaque, partly reflecting cloud layer at 10 mbar (50 km):
still too much absorption

Opaque partly reflecting cloud layer at 10 mbar +
partly reflecting haze above 10 mbar,
or extended haze optically thick below 10 mbar:
Satisfactory
More evidence for high altitude clouds and haze

No clouds or haze

Opaque, partly reflecting cloud layer at 10 mbar (50 km)

Opaque partly reflecting cloud layer at 10 mbar + partly reflecting haze above 10 mbar, or extended haze optically thick below 10 mbar

Albedo (reflectivity) of haze must be higher than at band center.
The CH$_3$D band and the 2.9μm window

10 mbar cloud and haze must be transparent.

No clouds: absorption is too strong

100 mb opaque cloud deck:
line depths about right,
but lines are too narrow.

100 mb, 20% transparent cloud
or opaque cloud with 80% coverage of surface:
Reasonable agreement

Supports conclusion of Griffith et al. (1998) that the surface of Titan is observe at 2.9μm.
Summary

• CH$_4$ line emission confirms high altitude T-p profile of Yelle (1991)

• T$_{\text{rot}}$ (HCN) $\sim$ 140-180 K; mixing ratio is consistent with previous predictions.

• Stratospheric C$_2$H$_2$ mixing ratio is 1-2 orders of magnitudes less than previous atmospheric chemical models.

• High altitude cloud/haze layer at 10 mb (100 km) and above is identified. The wavelength-dependent albedo suggests that its particles are made of hydrocarbons.

• Second cloud layer at 100 mb (50 km) identified; it is either 20% transmitting at 2.9µm and covers the disk or is opaque and blocks 80% of the surface from view.

• CH$_3$D line profiles confirm that the surface of Titan is observed at 2.9µm.