

Martian morning atmosphere during Northern spring from the Planetary Fourier Spectrometer (PFS) measurements









D. Grassi<sup>(1)</sup>, C. Fiorenza <sup>(1,2)</sup>, N.I. Ignatiev<sup>(3,1)</sup>, L.V. Zasova<sup>(3,1)</sup>, A. Maturilli<sup>(5,1,4)</sup>, V. Formisano<sup>(1)</sup>, M. Giuranna<sup>(1,6)</sup> and the *PFS team* 

<sup>1</sup>INAF-IFSI, Rome, Italy, <sup>2</sup>Univ. of L'Aquila, Italy, <sup>3</sup>IKI-RSSI, Moscow, Russia, <sup>4</sup>"Federico II" Univ., Naples, Italy <sup>5</sup>DLR, Berlin, Germany, <sup>6</sup>CISAS, Univ. of Padua, Italy

1<sup>st</sup> Mars Express Science Conference, (ESTEC) Noordwijk, The Netherlands, February 23rd, 2005

#### Summary

Introduction ✓ The PFS experiment ✓ Physics of PFS data Rationale for morning observations The morning atmosphere in N spring ✓ Dataset characteristics ✓ PFS-derived T(z) fields ✓ Aerosols contents Conclusions

#### The PFS experiment

IR spectrometer, two main channels (LWC & SWC)
 Very wide range: [250-2000] cm<sup>-1</sup> + [2000-8200] cm<sup>-1</sup>
 High spectral resolution: 2.0 cm<sup>-1</sup> (sampling: 1.0 cm<sup>-1</sup>)
 No imaging capability



## Physics of PFS Data

#### Radiative transfer (RT) equation

$$\begin{split} I_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu,total},\mu,\phi) &= \\ A. \quad \varepsilon_{\nu}B_{\nu}(T_{surf})e^{-\tau_{\nu,total}(\mu)} + \\ B. \quad \frac{1}{\mu}\int_{0}^{\tau_{\nu,total}}(1-\varpi_{o,\nu}(\tau_{\nu}=\tau_{\nu}'))e^{-(\tau_{\nu,total}-\tau'_{\nu})^{\prime}\mu}B_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu}=\tau_{\nu}')d\tau_{\nu} + \\ C. \quad \frac{1}{4\pi\mu}\int_{0}^{\tau_{\nu,total}}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\int_{0}^{1}e^{-(\tau_{\nu,total}-\tau'_{\nu})^{\prime}\mu}e^{-\tau'_{\nu}^{\prime}\mu'}\tilde{p}_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu}=\tau_{\nu}',\mu,\phi,\mu',\phi')I_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu}=0,\mu',\phi')d\mu'd\phi'd\tau_{\nu}' + \\ D. \quad \frac{1}{4\pi\mu}\int_{0}^{\tau_{\nu,total}}\int_{0}^{2\pi}\int_{-1}^{1}e^{-(\tau_{\nu,total}-\tau'_{\nu})^{\prime}\mu}\tilde{p}_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu}=\tau_{\nu}',\mu,\phi,\mu',\phi')I_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu}=\tau_{\nu}',\mu',\phi')d\mu'd\phi'd\tau_{\nu}' + \\ E. \quad \frac{F_{\nu,0}}{4\pi}\int_{0}^{\tau_{\nu,total}}\tilde{p}_{\nu}(\tau_{\nu}=\tau_{\nu}',\mu,\phi,\mu_{0},\phi_{0})e^{-(\tau_{\nu,total}-\tau'_{\nu})^{\prime}\mu}e^{-(\tau_{\nu,total}-\tau'_{\nu})^{\prime}\mu}d\tau_{\nu}' \end{split}$$

Inversion may provide T(z) and aerosol loads!

### Rationale for morning atmosphere observations

The transition from dark to illuminated conditions may trigger a series of short-time scale transients:
 ✓ Air heating
 ✓ Sublimation of volatile species

✓ Local dynamics of the air masses

#### **Observational possibilities**

MEX orbit is not Sun-synchronous

- Longitude of the ascending node wrt subsolar longitude varies slowly along the mission
- Several local times can be explored by Nadir viewing instruments
- Complex local time L<sub>s</sub> Longitude correlations in our dataset

## **Specific PFS possibilities**

Correlations in our dataset can be considerably reduced by: ✓Usage of PFS autonomous scanner Observations during downlink sessions PFS can usefully integrate the huge dataset returned by MGS-TES (Sunsynchronous orbit) at different LTs

## **Observation characteristics**



## **Observation characteristics**



## **Observation characteristics**



## T(z) fields

Comparison with model expectation (EMCD 3.1) is the basic tool to catch unexpected phenomena.

Issues:

 ✓ Moderate spatial resolution ⇒ discrepancies near sharp topographic features (Vallis Marineris and Tharsis calderas)

 Interpolation on season in the pre-computed distribution

## T(z) fields

Orbit are classified in three LT bins according the observational conditions near the equator

	LT	Sun Elev.
Early	6.30-8	<25°
Middle	8-9	<45°
Late	9-10	<65°

#### Orbit 446 (L<sub>s</sub> 39.1)



Difference

#### Orbit 467 (L<sub>s</sub> 41.7)



#### Orbit 475 (L<sub>s</sub> 42.7)



# Early morning T(z) fields

In general sense, excellent agreement between PFS data and model expectations is observed

Colder air temperatures at middle latitudes
 Very similar or warmer close at southern latitudes
 Possible interpretation
 Enhanced global circulation
 An effect of model interpolation on season

#### Orbit 482 (L<sub>s</sub> 43.6)



#### Orbit 493 (L<sub>s</sub> 45.0)

Difference



## Middle morning T(z) fields

- Deviations between observed and measured temperature fields are stronger and latitudinally more extended than in the previous case
- Differences tend to vanish in the not illuminated regions

#### Possible interpretation:

Latter point suggest a possible role by a short time scale phenomenon: the atmosphere has an higher time response to solar heating, possibly due to:

- × differences in dust loading
- ✓ differences in aerosol optical properties
- ✓ sublimation processes

Dynamical effects?

#### Orbit 430 (L<sub>s</sub> 37.1)



Difference

#### Orbit 449 (L<sub>s</sub> 39.5)



Difference

## "Late" morning T(z) fields

Trends in the are very similar to the ones observed in the previous local time bin

Atmosphere tends to remain colder than model expectations

## T(z) fields: synopsis

 Main features in expected T(z) fields are confirmed by PFS observations
 Discrepancies show different systematic patterns at different local times ⇒ phenomena at different time scales

#### Dust content vs. local time



## Dust content vs. local time

Dust loads at 9LT are basically identical to the values assumed by EMCD in MGS dust scenario Despite retrievals errors, data point toward a decrease in dust content with local time Possible interpretations: ✓ Discrepancies between dust and gas scale heights  $\checkmark$  Water ice coating on dust grains ✓ Peculiar dynamic (which one?) This behavior confirms the previous study by

Formisano et al., (2001) based on IRIS data

## Ice content vs. local time



#### Ice content vs. local time

 PFS data suggest an increase in the early hours followed by a rapid fall around 9.30 PM
 Possible interpretation:

- Sun heating triggers the release of water from a surface source or directly dust grains (more effective thermal IR absorbers)
- In later hours, warmer air leads to ice sublimation
  Later phenomenon is less effective at lower latitudes

#### Conclusions

Observations still lack qualitative modeling! But..

 Morning observations highlight phenomena in the Martian atmosphere not fully encompassed by EMCD 3.1
 Discrepancies are of moderate magnitude
 Condensation processes may possibly play an important role

#### Future work

Quantitative simulation of condensation processes is mandatory

- Assessment of role in short-time atmospheric energy balance
- Important constraints from PFS-derived water vapor content

 Extensive comparison with EMCD 4.0
 Statistic is expected to be improved by further PFS observations acquired in the same period

#### Acknowledgments



Rainer Haus & Dmitri V. Titov
 Andrea Mattana & Federico Nespoli
 Gianandrea Bianchini and CISAS

Background image: ESA/HRSC team

## **Observational possibilities**

