### FIELD ALIGNED CURRENT OBSERVED BY CLUSTER

J. K. Shi<sup>(1)</sup>, T. L. Zhang<sup>(2)</sup>, Z. W. Cheng<sup>(1,3)</sup>, Z. X. Liu<sup>(1)</sup>, R. Nakamura<sup>(2)</sup>, C. Carr<sup>(4)</sup>, A. Balogh<sup>(4)</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Center.for Space Science and Applied Research, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China <sup>(2)</sup> Space Research Institute, Austrian Academy of Sciences, Graz, Austria

<sup>(3)</sup> Graduate University, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China

<sup>(3)</sup> Imperial Colleague London, UK

## ABSTRACT

In this study, features of the Field Aligned Currents (FACs) distribution in the plasma sheet boundary layer are investigated. The current is calculated with the 4-point measurement of FluxGate Magnetometer (FGM) on board the Cluster in the period of July to October 2001. There are 172 FAC cases/events chosen for statistics. The results show that spatial distribution of the FAC has asymmetry in several aspects. The FACs occurrence is mainly Earthward in dawn side and is mainly tailward in dusk side. The FACs occurrence also has south-north hemisphere asymmetry.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Field Aligned Current (FAC) is important for the Magnetosphere and Ionosphere dynamics. It exists in many goe-space regions, such as the polar ionosphere, Plasma Sheet Boundary Layer (PSBL), and so on.

Iijima and Potemra [1] researched the statistical characteristics of large-scale FACs above the ionosphere using magnetic field data by the TRIAD satellite at  $\sim$ 800 km in altitude. They investigated the region 1 and region 2 current in the polar region. Ohtani et al. Elphic et al. [2] analysed 189 plasma sheet boundary layer crossings also using ISEE 1 and 2 magnetometer data and concerned themselves only with the outermost FACs in the PSBL, they researched the tailward FAC and the earthward FAC. [3] found the polarity of the region 1 current system was consistent with the FAC in the PSBL in the magnetotail using ISEE 1 and 2 magnetometer data. The Hall current system was recently observationally identified in the magnetotail [4,5]. Fujimoto et al. [6,7] reported Geotail observations of the Hall-FACs flowing downward at location far from the reconnection site. Genta Ueno et. al. [8] statistically studied the outermost FACs observed by the Geotail spacecraft crossing the plasma sheet boundary layer inside  $X_{GSM} = -40 R_E$ .

All the research work mentioned above was using the magnetic field date obtained by single satellite. So the current could not be calculated accurately because time variation and spatial variation could not be distinguished by the measurement with single satellite.

Thus only the estimated currents have been given. The Cluster satellite with a 4-point measurement provides us a very good opportunity to calculate the current with the so-called curlometer technique exactly [9,10]. In this study, we use the Cluster FGM 4-point data measured in the PSBL in the magnetotail with  $X_{GSM}$  about -19 R<sub>E</sub> in 2001 to study the feature of the FAC statistically and the main results show the FAC spatial distribution has asymmetry in several aspects, especially the south-north hemisphere asymmetry. The paper is organized as follows. The criteria and method of the FAC case/event selections are first described. This will be followed by the statistical results and summary.

#### 2. CASES/EVENTS SELECTION

The data used for this study are the PP data of the instrument FGM on board the Cluster 4 satellites in 2001. We only choice the Cluster obits whose have plasma sheet across with  $Y_{GSM}$  from  $-15\ R_{E}$  to  $15\ R_{E}$  to avoid the low latitude boundary region. As mentioned above, the FAC cases for us to study were in two PSBLs. One PSBL is in north hemisphere and the other PSBL is in south hemisphere. Fig.1 shows the two boundary layers (the black thick lines) in the magnetotail. To select the FAC case/event, the following conditions must be met (1)  $\sqrt{B_y^2 + B_y^2} > 15$  nT to exclude the crosstail current region. It is known that the plasma sheet is always swaying in the Z direction and it is difficulty to decide PSBL using the fixed coordinate system. Ideally, one shall use  $\beta$  to define the PSBL region. However, since in this study we limited our data to FGM data only, we apply  $\sqrt{B_x^2 + B_y^2} > 15$  nT condition as a proxiity; (2) Density of the FAC shall reach 3 pT / km (in this study, the curl B unit is given as pT/km which is a convenient equivalent of the current density unit of  $nA/m^2$ , note that  $1 \text{ pT/km} = 1.28 \text{ nA/m}^2$ ) To do so is for keeping from the current noise in background and the errors resulting from the current calculation using the tetrahedron approximation; (3)The separation between two cases is more than 10 minutes.

Fig.1 shows a sketch for the plasma sheet boundary layers in north hemisphere and south hemisphere or north PSBL and south PSBL.



Fig.1 A sketch for the plasma sheet boundary layers

As we know, the current density and the magnetic vector are related through Ampere's law

$$\mu_0 \int_A \vec{J} \cdot ds = \oint_C \vec{B} \cdot dl \tag{1}$$

Here  $\bar{J}$  is the current,  $\bar{B}$  is the magnetic field,  $\mu_0$  is the magnetic constant. We calculate the current using the curlometer technique that is based on the Ampere's law but the integrals are numerically. Consider separation of each two Cluster satellites is about 2000 km in the 2001 and the configuration of the magnetic field is in the PSBL in the magnetotail, there is no problem to use the curlometer technique [9,10] in our study. With the calculated current, the FAC can be got with the form

$$J_{\parallel} = (\vec{J} \cdot \vec{B}) / \left| \vec{B} \right|$$
(2)

To ensure the data quality, we choice the data that obey the form

$$\left|\nabla \cdot \vec{B}\right| / \left|\nabla \times \vec{B}\right| << 1 \tag{3}$$

In the calculation, we took the ratio < 0.3.

## 3. STATISTICS RESULT

According to the description in the section II, there were 172 cases chosen to statistically study properties of the FACs .The Fig.2 shows all the chosen cases on the *Bx-By* plane. From the Fig. 2, we can see that all the cases distribute in the region of the  $\sqrt{B_X^2 + B_Y^2} > 15$  nT. It means all the FAC cases chosen should took place in the PSBLs.



Fig. 2 The cases distribution on the Bx - By plane. It shows that the FACs cases were selected only in the plasmasheet boundary layer



Fig. 3 FAC cases on the X-Y plane in the GSM system, it shows FACs's dawn-dusk asymmetry and Earthward –tailward asymmetry

Fig. 3 shows all of the cases on the X-Y plane in the GSM coordinate system. In the Fig.3, each arrow present a FAC case, the start point of the arrow is the location of the case taking place, the length of the arrow presents the density of the FAC in the unit of pT/km and the arrow direction presents the FAC direction. From Fig.3, we can see that some FACs took place in the dusk side and some took place in the dawn side, some FACs are earthward and some are tailward. The cases distribution in the dawn side is not same as that in the dusk side.



Fig. 4 Fractional occurrence of the FACs versus Y<sub>GSM</sub>

Fig. 4 shows fractional occurrence of the FACs versus Y<sub>GSM</sub>. In Fig.4, gray color presents the earthward FAC and the black color presents the tailward FAC. In each block, the height (from bottom of the black to top of the grey) is unit 1. The gray part of the block presents the earthward FAC's fractional occurrence and the black part of the block presents the tailward's. For example, in the block of  $Y_{GSM}$  from 10  $R_E$  to 15  $R_E$ , the height of the gray part is 0.42 and the height of the black part is 0.58, it means that fractional occurrence of the earthward FAC is 0.42 and of the tailward's is 0.58. From Fig.4 we can see more clearly that the earthward FAC in dawn side has a big occurrence than that in the dusk side and the tailward FAC in dusk side has a big occurrence than that in the dawn side. In the dusk side, the occurrence of the tailward FAC is higher than that of the earthward FAC. In the dawn side, in the region of  $Y_{GSM} = 0$  to -5 R<sub>E</sub>, the occurrence of the tailward FAC is a little higher than that of the earthward but in the region of the  $Y_{GSM} = -5R_E$  to  $-15 R_E$ , the occurrence of the tailward FAC is lower than that of the earthward.

In this study, we found that the FAC has north-south hemisphere asymmetry. Fig. 5 illustrates the FAC (a) in the north hemisphere or north PSBL and (b) in south hemisphere or south PSBL. The arrow in the Fig. 5 is the same meaning as that in the Fig. 3.



Fig. 5 The FAC cases on the X-Y plane in the GSM system in (a) north PSBL and (b) south PSBL

Table 1 FAC cases in north and south PSBL

	Dawn side		Dusk side	
	Earthward	Tailward	Earthward	Tailward
North	35	13	8	25
South	10	15	28	38

From Fig.5, we can see that the FAC distribution in the north hemisphere is not the same as that in the south hemisphere.

Table 1 shows the occurrence of FAC cases in different hemisphere or different PSBL. In the table 1, the numbers are the FAC occurrence numbers in difference sector, different direction and different PSBLs. From the table1 1, we can see that in different side the FAC occurrence is different, in different direction the FAC occurrence is different, and in different PSBL the occurrence is different, too.

## 4. SUMMARY

We used the PP data of the Fluxgate Magnetometer on board the Cluster in the period of July to October 2001 and studied the features of the Field Aligned Current distribution in the plasma sheet boundary layer in the magnetotail. There are 172 FACs cases/events chosen for statistics. The current is calculated with the curlometer technique with the 4-point measurement. The main results show that occurrence of the FACs has asymmetry in several aspects: the dawn-dusk asymmetry, the earthward-tailward asymmetry and the south-north PSBL asymmetry.

The FACs density and the relationship between the FACs and the  $A_E$  are also researched in our study. We will show it in other papers.

Acknowledgement This research was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China grant under 40390150 and the International Collaboration Research Team Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences.

# REFERENCE

1. Iijima, T., and T. A. Potemra, Large-scale characteristics of field-aligned currents associated with substorms, *J. Geophys.* Res., 83, 599 – 615,1978.

2. Elphic, R. C., P. A. Mutch, and C. T. Russell, Observations of field-aligned currents at the plasma sheet boundary: An ISEE-1 and 2 survey, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 12, 631–634, 1985.

3. Ohtani, S., S. Kokubun, R. C. Elphic, and C. T. Russell, Field-aligned current signatures in the near-tail region, 1, ISEE observations in the plasma sheet boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 93, 9709–9720, 1988.

4. Nagai, T., I. Shinohara, M. Fujimoto, M. Hoshino, Y. Saito, S. Machida, and T. Mukai, Geotail observations of the Hall current system: Evidence of magnetic reconnection in the magnetotail, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106,25,929–25,949, 2001.

5. Øieroset, M., T. D. Phan, M. Fujimoto, R. P. Lin, and R. P. Lepping, In situ detection of collisionless reconnection in the Earth's magnetotail, *Nature*, 412, 414–417, 2001.

6. Fujimoto, M., M. S. Nakamura, I. Shinohara, T. Nagai, T. Mukai, Y. Saito, and S. Kokubun, Observations of earthward streaming electrons at the trailing boundary of a plasmoid, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 24, 2893–2896,1997.

7. Fujimoto, M., T. Nagai, N. Yokokawa, Y. Yamade, T. Mukai, Y. Saito, and S. Kokubun, Tailward electrons at the lobe-plasma sheet interface detected upon dipolarizations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 21,255–21,262, 2001.

8. Genta Ueno, Shin-ichi Ohtani, Yoshifumi Saito and Toshifumi Mukai, Field-aligned currents in the outermost plasma sheet boundary layer with Geotail observation, *J.Geophys. Res.*, 107 (A11), 1399, doi: 10.1029 / 2002JA 009367, 2002.

9. Dunlop. M. W, et al. Configurational sensitivity of multipoint magnetic field measurements, *In: Proceedings of the International Workshop on "Space Plasma Physics Investigations by Cluster and Regatta"*, Graz, 1990,23~28.

10. Patrick Robert, and Malcolm W. Dunlop, Accuracy of current density determination, *Analysis Methods for Multi-Spacecraft Data*, p.395-418, ed. by Gotz Paschmann, and Patrick W. Daly, ESA Publications Division Keplerlaan 1, 2200 AG Noordwijk, Netherlands, July 1998.