EChO

Exoplanet Characterisation Observatory

G. Tinetti & B. Swinyard on behalf of EChO SST & EChO Consortium

The Exoplanet Revolution 9 to 1000 in 20 years!



Kepler Planets

As of February 27, 2012



The Solar System is not representative

There is much more variety than the Sun's planets

to Earth Circumbinary planets Kepler 16 b, Kepler 34 b, Kepler 38 b, PH1 b... The orbit of HD80606b is as "Lava planets" eccentric as that T > 2500Kof comet Halley Corot 7b, Kepler 78b, 55 Cnc e, Kepler 10b.... M3 Presentations - Paris 2014

The Solar System is not representative

There is much more variety than the Sun's planets



210

5



Outstanding Science Questions

Why is the Solar System **<u>not</u>** representative of the planetary systems in our Galaxy?

Why are exoplanets as they are?

• What are the causes for the observed diversity?



6

Understanding the exoplanet diversity

Mass & radius tell only part of the story



The gaseous envelope

Atmospheric composition is determined by many processes

Escape processes

Impact

Condensation (e.g. clouds)

Stellar radiation

(photochemistry & climate)

Outgassing



Formation 8 Paris 2014

Understanding exoplanet diversity Predicted atmospheric composition of exoplanets



9

Gaseous planets

Key questions & observables



Solid planets

Key questions & observables



Albedo/thermal emission



How to probe an exoplanet atmosphere 1: Transit spectroscopy

The stellar photons are filtered through the planetary atmosphere





How to probe an exoplanet atmosphere

1: Transit spectroscopy



How to probe an exoplanet atmosphere 2: Eclipse spectroscopy

Using the planet ephemeris to separate the planet from the star





How to probe an exoplanet atmosphere 2: Eclipse spectroscopy





How to probe an exoplanet atmosphere 3: Phase-curves



Knutson et al., 2007; Crossfield et al., 2010

Pioneering work on Exo-Atmospheres Transit spectra with Hubble, Spitzer, ground...



Issues with current observations

- We are dealing with low SNR & R observations IWST, ELT
- Data are sparse, not enough wavelength coverage X
- Broad wavelength coverage is not simultaneous X
- Absolute calibration at the level of 10^{-4} is <u>**not**</u> guaranteed \neq
- Instrument systematics are difficult to disentangle from the signal X
- Stellar activity is the largest source of astrophysical noise X
- We need observations on a population of objects to draw conclusions X

We need a dedicated mission from space! $\in \mathbb{C}$

Broad wavelength coverage

Redundancy for molecular detections



Broad wavelength coverage Ensures understanding of the atmospheric complexity



Narrow wavelength coverage can only give a partial view – see Jupiter



Courtesy of Kevin H. Baines, NASA IRTF

Broad wavelength coverage

Ensures understanding of the atmospheric complexity





Broad-wavelength simultaneous coverage

Best antidote to stellar activity

CoRot-2 star – Normalised Flux



Alonso et al., 2008

Broad-wavelength simultaneous coverage

Best antidote to stellar activity







Broad-wavelength simultaneous coverage

Best antidote to stellar activity



Ribas, Micela, ESA Tech Note; Waldmann, 2012; Danielski et al., 201

EChO's 3 Surveys:

Study exoplanets as a population & individually

- Chemical Census (survey)
 - Explore exoplanet chemical diversity

(150-300 planets)

• Origin (deep survey)

• Understand the origin of exoplanet diversity (5

(50-100 planets)

• Rosetta Stones (ultra deep survey)

• Weather, 2D-3D Maps, Benchmark cases

(10-20 planets)



EChO's 3 Surveys: Study exoplanets as a population & individually





EChO's 3 Surveys: Study exoplanets as a population & individually





EChO's 3 Surveys:

Study exoplanets as a population & individually





Optimal SNR & $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ for each survey Chemical Census: SNR =5, $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ = 30-50



Optimal SNR & $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ for each survey Origin: SNR =10, $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ = 30-100



Optimal SNR & $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ for each survey Rosetta Stones: SNR =20, $\lambda/\Delta\lambda$ = 30-300



Known Planets observable by EChO

More than 160 today



Known Planets observable by EChO

More than 160 today



Known Planets observable by EChO

More than 160 today



EChO Chemical Census survey Chemical survey of planets in the Solar neighbourhood





EChO Chemical Census survey Chemical survey of planets in the Solar neighbourhood



GAIA + Transit & RV surveys Additional targets for EChO & better stellar parameters

- GAIA
- Cheops
- TESS
- Kepler2
- HARPS/HARPS North
- HAT-NET
- Super-WASP
- Carmenes
- M-Earth
- NGTS
- APACHE
- Spirou

37





Additional targets from NASA-TESS

Dedicated mission to detect transiting planets (2017)



Current known planets observable by EChO



Additional targets from NASA-TESS

Dedicated mission to detect transiting planets (2017)



Additional targets from NASA-TESS Dedicated mission to detect transiting planets (2017)



EChO Chemical Census & Origin

Exploring the chemical diversity & understanding the physical processes



Current observations Detecting only the most abundant molecules



\sim 10 planets

Venot et al., 2012

4

EChO Chemical Census survey Detecting key molecules, mapping the chemical diversity



~ 150-300 planets

EChO Origin Survey Understanding the role of non-equilibrium chemistry



~ 50-100 planets

EChO Origin survey

Spectral retrieval thermal structure & molecular abundances



45

EChO Origin Survey Understanding planet formation/migration processes



Obert et al., 2011; Turrini, Nelson, Barbieri, 2014

EChO Rosetta Stones survey

Benchmark cases to understand classes of planets



Varley et al, 2014

EChO Rosetta Stones survey Benchmark cases to understand classes of planets



EChO Rosetta Stones survey Synergy with MIRI Filters



Varley et al, 2014

EChO Rosetta Stones survey Synergy with MIRI Filters



Varley et al, 2014

EChO Rosetta Stones survey Synergy with MIRI Filters



EChO Rosetta Stones survey 2D images of the planet





EChO Rosetta Stones survey 2D images of the planet

Exploring spatial variability (a) multiple λ



0.4-1 μm

2-4 μm







EChO Rosetta Stones survey

Weather & temporal variability

Understanding the role of dynamics (repeating > 20 times)



Thrastarson & Cho, 2012



Mission Requirements

- Observe transmission and emission spectra
- Observe a wide variety of systems
 - \checkmark Vis to Thermal IR
 - ✓ Contiguous spectra
 - ✓ High photometric stability $\sim 10^{-4}$
 - ✓ Large sky coverage
 - ✓ Agile spacecraft
 - \checkmark Optimised calibration scheme
- Stay within the M-class envelope Soyuz....



Major Systems Components



EChO Yellow Book

Visibility of Targets All targets observable within in 4 yr mission



Instrument Concept



M3 Presentations - Paris 2014

JWST and EChO Spectrometer Coverage



- Same colour on the diagram indicates instantaneous coverage
- NIRISS, NIRSpec and MIRI cannot be used simultaneously
- NIRSpec_prism saturates somewhere in the band on targets brighter than J~11
- MIRI prism saturates at about K=6 (although not completely clear where limit is) M3 Presentations - Paris 2014 59

EChOYellow Book

Photometric Stability



Frequency band of interest: 2.8×10^{-5} Hz (~10 hrs) to ~4 mHz (~5 minutes) Noise or photometric variations at higher or lower frequencies do not affect the measurement

EChOYellow Book

Generic Design for Photometric Stability

- Spectometers are essentially "slitless"
- Larger entrance field aperture in SWIR, MWIR and LWIR allows off axis light to be monitored
- At least 2 pixels per spatial and spectral element
- Redundant data allow de-correlation of pointing jitter



Instrument Design Implementation



Simulating EChO Performance EChOSim



Performance vs Requirement Bright Source – dominated by stellar photon noise



Performance vs Requirement <u>Faint Source – detector dark current noise important</u>

1000.0 Stellar photon noise [e⁻pixel⁻¹s^{-1/2} 100.0 Total system noise Detector dark 10.0 current noise Detector read Noise noise 1.0 Dispersed **UD**PY Instrument and Telescope @ 45K 0.1 Pointing 2 6 8 10 12 14 16 4 jitter Wavelength $[\mu m]$ EChOYellow Book M3 Presentations - Paris 2014

Instrument Consortium

EChO is a 100% open mission



Conclusions

- Solar System is no longer the paradigm!
- We now need to understand how planets form & evolve
- The way forward is to study the *atmospheric chemistry of exoplanets*
- EChO will deliver *transformational science*:
 - First broad survey of planetary atmospheres
 - > 200 planets spectroscopically observed
 - Molecular abundances 3 orders of magnitude lower than currently possible
 - Fourfold increase in the number of detected molecules
- 100% open data policy & survey definition
- No showstoppers, ready to go!

67 M3 Presentations - Paris 2014



Backup slides

Spacecraft Design

- Two industrial studies undertaken (Astrium and Thales); solutions to spacecraft design very similar
- Off-axis three mirror telescope built from silcon/carbon ceramic type material
- Instrument and telescope mounted from common structure
- Passive cooling provided by nested v-groove shields
- Cooling for some detectors provided by active cooler
- Attitude and orbital control by combination of cold-gas +reaction wheels or fine gyros+reaction wheels
- Closed loop through fine guidance sensor in focal plane



EChO Performance

Minimum abundances retrievable for hot/warm gaseous planets

Warm Neptune around K star (eclipse)

	CH_4			CO		CO_2			NH_3			H_2O		
Obs. Mode	$3.3 \mu m$	$8\mu m$	$2.3 \mu m$	$4.6 \mu n$	$i = 2.8 \mu$	$m = 4.3 \mu$	$m = 15 \mu m$	$3\mu m$	$6.1 \mu m$	$10.5 \mu m$	$2.8 \mu m$	$5-8\mu n$	$n = 11-16 \mu m$	
Rosetta St.	10^{-7}	10^{-6}	10^{-4}	10^{-6}	10-	7 10 ⁻	$7 10^{-7}$	10^{-7}	10^{-6}	10^{-7}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-5}	
Origins	10^{-7}	10^{-6}	10^{-3}	10^{-5}	10^{-}	6 10 ⁻	7 10 ⁻⁶	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-5}	10^{-4}	
Ch. Census	10^{-7}	10^{-5}	10^{-3}	10^{-4}	10^{-}	6 10 ⁻	7 10 ⁻⁵	10^{-5}	10^{-5}	10^{-5}	10^{-5}	10^{-5}	10^{-4}	
	HCN		PH_3		C_2H_6			H_2S			C_2H_2			
Obs. Mode	$3\mu m$	$7\mu m$	$14 \mu m$	$4.3 \mu m$	$10 \mu m$	$3.3 \mu m$	$12.2 \mu m$	$2.6 \mu m$	$4.25 \mu m$	$8\mu m$	$3\mu m$	$7.5 \mu m$	$13.7 \mu m$	
Rosetta St.	10^{-7}	10^{-5}	10^{-7}	10^{-7}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-6}	10^{-5}	10^{-4}	10^{-4}	10^{-7}	10^{-5}	10^{-7}	
Origins	10^{-6}	10^{-5}	10^{-6}	10^{-7}	10^{-6}	10^{-5}	10^{-5}	10^{-5}	10^{-4}	10^{-3}	10^{-7}	10^{-4}	10^{-6}	
Ch. Census	10^{-6}	10^{-4}	10^{-5}	10^{-7}	10^{-5}	10^{-5}	10^{-5}	10^{-4}	10^{-3}	-	10^{-7}	10^{-3}	10^{-5}	



EChO Performance

Minimum abundances retrievable for hot/warm gaseous planets

Hot super-Lartin around G-star (eclipse)									
					~~~				
	$H_2O$					$CO_2$			
Obs. Mode	$2.8 \mu m$	$5$ - $8 \mu m$	$11$ - $16 \mu m$	$2.8 \mu m$	$4.3 \mu m$	$15 \mu m$			
Rosetta St.	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$			
Origins	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-3}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-4}$			
Ch. Census	$10^{-3}$	-	-	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-5}$	-			

#### Temperate super-Earth around late M-dwarf (eclipse, R=20)

	H	$I_2O$	$CO_2$	$NH_3$		<i>O</i> ₃		
SNR	$5$ - $8~\mu m$	11 - 16 $\mu m$	$15 \ \mu m$	$6 \ \mu m$	$11 \ \mu m$	$9.6~\mu m$	$14.3~\mu m$	
10	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	
5	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-4}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-5}$	$10^{-6}$	$10^{-7}$	$10^{-5}$	

Tessenyi et al, 2013, 2014