

enus express

VIRTIS PERFORMANCE BUDGET

	NAME	FUNCTION	SIGNATURE	DATE
PREPARED	A. Barbis	Calibration SE		
CHECKED	CHECKED M. Dami V-M SE			
APPROVED	M. Giustini	PAM		
AUTHORIZED	E. Suetta	РМ		

This Document contains proprietary information of Galileo Avionica Spa, a Finmeccanica Company. All Rights reserved.





Doc N: **VVX-GAF-BD- 002** Issue: **1** Date: **08/10/02** Page: **2 of 10**

DOCUMENT CHANGE RECORD

enus express

ISSUE	DATE	Total pages	DESCRIPTION OF MODIFICATIONS



ı

enus express

CONTENTS

1	SCOPE	4
2	DOCUMENTS	4
	2.1 APPLICABLE DOCUMENTS	4
3	VIRTIS-M PRELIMINARY RESULTS	5
4	VIRTIS-H PRELIMINARY RESULTS	. 10



nus exores

1 SCOPE

This document gives the performance budget of the VIRTIS instrument, on the basis of the measurements performed on VIRTIS during its functional and calibration tests for the Rosetta Mission.

Section 4 gives the preliminary results of the post-processing of the VIRTIS-M data acquired during the VIRTIS-M on-ground calibration campaign (GA, July 2001). The analysis of the VIRTIS-M on-ground calibration sessions is now in progress, in order to obtain the overall set of Calibration Key Data Parameters.

Section 5 resumes the results of the VIRTIS-H calibration campaign, taking into account both the tests at channel and integrated instrument level.

2 DOCUMENTS

2.1 Applicable Documents

- AD-1 VIRTIS-H: an infrared spectrometer for the ROSETTA mission –Calibration results SPIE 2002 (in press) VIR-DES-TN-1795
- AD-2 VIRTIS-M Performance Test and Calibration: Preliminary Results Report" VIR-GAL-TR-181
- AD-3 VIRTIS Requirements Document",
- VIR-GAL-RS-001, is. 1 VIR-GAL-LI-088, is. 1
- AD-4 VIRTIS Verification Matrix

This Document contains proprietary information of Galileo Avionica Spa, a Finmeccanica Company. All Rights reserved.



3 VIRTIS-M Preliminary results

The preliminary results of the VIRTIS-M on ground calibration (Florence, July 2001), obtained by post-processing some of the raw data acquired during the measurement sessions, are shown in the following Table 3-1 and Fig. 3-1, Fig. 3-2, Fig. 3-3, Fig. 3-4.

Calibration		Measured Value	Notes	Requirement
Parameter		(preliminary result)		
	Along-slit	IR: 1.2 pixel (46 μm)		IR: ≤1.5 pixel
Spatial		VIS: 1.0 pixel (38 µm)		VIS: ≤1.5 pixel
Width	Across-slit	IR: 1.0 pixel (38 µm)	a gaussian fitting	IR: 1.5 pixel
		VIS: 0.9 pixel (33 µm)	has been applied	VIS: 1.5 pixel
			to the measured	
			data	
IFOV	Along-slit	248.6 µrad	Spatial Sampling	250±5 µrad
	Across-slit	250.8 µrad	Interval	250±5 µrad
FOV	Along-slit	63.6 mrad		64±1 mrad
	Across-slit	64.2 mrad		64±1 mrad
Spectral	IR	9.44 nm/pixel		≤10 nm/pixel
Sampling	VIS	1.88 nm/pixel		≤2 nm/pixel
Interval				Ĩ
Spectral Range	IR	1000.2 nm ≤λ≤ 5068.0 nm	IR FPA column #1	0.95 μm ≤λ≤ 5.0 μm
			to #432	
	VIS	231.3 nm ≤λ≤ 1043.3 nm	VIS FPA column	0.25 μm ≤λ≤ 1.0 μm
			#5 to #436	
Instrument	IR	See Fig. 3-1	Post-processing in	SNR>100
Transfer			progress	
Function	VIS	See Fig. 3-2	Post-processing in	SNR>100
			progress	
In-flight	IR	See Fig. 3-3	Post-processing in	
Calibration Unit			progress	
Characterisation	VIS	See Fig. 3-4	Post-processing in	
			progress	

<u>Table 3-1:</u> Preliminary results of VIRTIS-M on-ground calibration.





This Document contains proprietary information of Galileo Avionica Spa, a Finmeccanica Company. All Rights reserved.









Fig. 3-3: Average spectrum [ADU/sec] of the IR in-flight calibration source, from VIRTIS-M on-ground calibration (Florence, July 2001).





Fig. 3-4: Average spectrum [ADU/sec] of the VIS in-flight calibration source, from VIRTIS-M on-ground calibration (Florence, July 2001).





nus exoress

4 VIRTIS-H Preliminary results

Spectral resolution: $\lambda/\delta\lambda$

specified: 2000 qt 3 μ m measured: the spectral resolution varies within each order between 1500 and 3000

Pupil and IFOV:

Specified: 3 pixels across dispersion/ 1 pixel along dispersion Measured: 1.74 mrad (across the dispersion), or about 3 pixels and 0.58 mrad (along the dispersion), or about 1 pixel Pupil diameter: specified 32 mm/ measured: 32 mm at 43% half maximum

Image quality the PSF is measured to remain lower than a pixel size $(38 \ \mu m)$

Normalized relative responsivity:

Curves given in the reference show the optical transmission in each order, which varies along each order due to grating efficiency variations

Polarization: the polarization has been measured and the variation between two orthogonal polarization is measured to be lower than 0.4 (worst case)

Sensitivity:

The sensitivity is measured for blackbody variations of 0.5 K at 250 K, with an accuracy of 0.025 K at 250 K from $3.8\mu m$ and $4.7 \mu m$