## The axial ratios of boulders on asteroid 25143 Itokawa: Comparison with fragments from impact experiments.

Tatsuhiro Michikami $^\circ$ 

(JAXA/Fukushima National College of Technology/The Open Univ)

Akiko M Nakamura (Kobe Univ)

> Naru Hirata (Univ of Aizu)

# Shape of Fragments $0 \rightarrow 0 + \partial \phi$

Laboratory Impact Experiment

*b / a ~* 0.7 *c / a ~* 0.5 Size ~ less than 0.1 m

Axial Ratio (mean)  
$$a:b:c=2:\sqrt{2}:1$$

(Fujiwara et al. 1978, Capaccioni et al. 1984, Bianchi et al. 1984)

## Shape of small asteroid



MathildeGaspraIdaErosItokawaLight curve observation

(Catullo et al. 1984, Binzel et al. 1989, Harris and Pravec 2007)

The shape of small asteroid with size of  $10^2 - 10^4$  m || The shape of fragments in laboratory impact experiments

## Boulders on asteroids

#### Surface of Eros

#### Surface of Itokawa





#### 100m

The number of boulder (>15m) The number of boulder (>5m) is 6760. (Thomas et al. 2001) is 373. (Michikami et al. 2007) Numerous boulders were discovered.

→ We can estimate the shape distribution of fragments with size of 0.1-100 m.

# Purpose

In order to investigate whether the shape distribution of boulders is similar to that of the fragments in laboratory impact experiments, we report the shape distribution of boulders with size of  $10^{-1}$  to  $10^2$  m on the surface of Itokawa.

Fragments in laboratory Boulders on asteroids Small asterorids Size[m]  $10^{-4}-10^{-1}$  $10^{-1}-10^{2}$  $10^{2}-10^{4}$ 

## Analysis

## (I) Global mapping of boulders with size of 5-30m

Eight images acquired from AMICA data, 19-26th, October 2005 (1pixel ~ 0.4 m)

(I) Global mapping of boulders with size of 5-30m Eight images acquired from AMICA data, 19-26th, October 2005 (1pixel ~ 0.4 m) Distance from Itokawa 3.78-4.91km



## Analysis

## (I) Global mapping of boulders with size of 5-30m

Eight images acquired from AMICA data, 19-26th, October 2005 (1pixel ~ 0.4 m)

(II) Small boulders with size of 0.1-5m Six close-up images acquired from AMICA data, 9-12th, November 2005 (1pixel ~ 0.6–6 cm)

#### (II) Small boulders with size of 0.1-5m Six close-up acquired from AMICA data, 9-12th, November 2005 (1pixel ~ 0.6–6 cm) Distance from Itokawa 60-600 m





ST2417413276



ST2532629277



ST2539437177

ST2539429953

ST253942313

## Analysis

## (I) Global mapping of boulders with size of 5-30m

Eight images acquired from AMICA data, 19-26th, October 2005 (1pixel ~ 0.4 m)



(II) Small boulders with size of 0.1-5m Six close-up acquired from AMICA data, 9-12th, November 2005 (1pixel ~ 0.6–6 cm)

- Positive relief feature is defined as boulder.
- We have measured the apparent axes *a* and *b*, which represent the maximum dimensions of the boulder in two orthogonal planes ( $a \ge b$ ).

## The shape distribution of boulders



It looks like the typical boulders of Itokawa have more elongated shapes as compared with that of fragments in laboratory.

## The shape distribution of small boulders



Boulder (0.1-5 m)



## (III) The boulder of Eros Boulder (60-220 m)

#### Boulder (4-17 m)

[Image ID 015313598] Rim of Saddle region







1.5km Boulder (0.1-4 m) 230m

230m

#### [Image IDs 0157417133, 0157417198, 0157417593] Four close-up Images



## The shape distribution of boulders (Eros)



The apparent mean axial ratios of Eros's boulders are similar to that of fragments in laboratory.

## Summary

#### The apparent mean axial ratios (b/a) of boulders are

Itokawa	Counted Number	Size Range <b>5-30m</b>	Axial ratio $0.61(+0.19)$	
	(373)	5 50m		
Itokawa	(2033)	0.1 <b>-</b> 5m	$0.68(\pm 0.16)$	
Eros	(20)	60-220m	$0.73(\pm 0.17)$	
Eros	(41)	4-17m	$0.72(\pm 0.14)$	
Eros	(163)	0.1-4m	0.73(±0.15)	
(Laboratory		< 0.1m	$0.72(\pm 0.12)$ )	
It looks like the typical boulders of Itokawa have more				
elongated shap	bes as com	pared with that of	fragments in	
laboratory.		$\rightarrow$ Why	???	

## Discussion

One possibility is that, the actual shape distribution of the boulders on Itokawa is similar to that of the fragments in laboratory.





Granular process (Miyamoto et al. 2007)

# End

Some boulders keep the original position and stranded at the surface.





This large boulder is even taller than they are wide, which is a rather unstable orientation.

The difference of the axial ratio between small boulder (< 5m) and large boulder (> 5m) on Itokawa



ST2532629277

The apparent mean axial ratio decreases with increasing the size of boulders in the range from 0.1 to 5 m.

 $( \cap$ 

Axial ratio ( <i>b/a</i> )	Size	(Counted Number)
<b>0.68</b> (±0.16)	0.6-5.4 m	(495)
<b>0.66</b> (±0.16)	1.0-5.4 m	(309)
<b>0.60</b> (±0.16)	2.0-5.4 m	(53)
Axial rat	io: decrease Size	: increase

The apparent mean axial ratio decreases with increasing the size of boulders in the range Small boulder (>5m) from 0.1 to 5 m. ~70%? (Counted Axial ratio Size Number) (b/a)C (495)0.68 0.6-5.4 m  $(\pm 0.16)$ Large boulder(>5m) 0.66 1.0-5.4 m (309)~50%? ~50%?  $(\pm 0.16)$ С 0.60 2.0-5.4 m (53)а а (±0.16) Axial ratio: small Size: large

# The influence of the emission angle



We counted the boulders which were observed on the emission angle less than 20 degree.

The axial mean ratio of these boulders (the counted number is 76) is  $0.62 \pm 0.18$ , and this value is similar to that of the total boulders over the entire surface.



# Shape distribution of small and fast-rotation asteroids

### Shape distribution of small and fast-rotation asteroids



According to Holsapple 2007, these asteroids are monolithic bodies generated by impact cratering or catastrophic disruption of the parent asteroids.

## Small and fast-rotation asteroids (diameter < 200m and rotation period < 1hr)



The shape distribution of small and fast-rotation asteroids is similar to that of fragments in laboratory.

# The mean axial ratio of fragments, boulders and asteroids



# Other possibility

# Other possibility

1) The actual shape distribution of the boulders on Itokawa differs from that of the fragments in laboratory.



2) The influence of the phase angle on the axial ratio



# Three axis ratio

## Three axis ratio

Asteroids by light curve observation

b/a ~ 0.76 (±0.13) c/a ~ 0.64 (±0.16)

b/a

Fragments in laboratory

 $b/a \sim 0.72$  (±0.12)  $c/a \sim 0.49$ (±0.16)



c/a

# Axial Ratio of Asteroid and Taxonomic classification

Axial Ratio of Asteroid

( < 10 km) and</li>Taxonomicclassification



