GETEMME, a project to explore the Martian satellites

C. Le Poncin-Lafitte¹, V. Lainey², P. Rosenblatt³, J. Oberst⁴ and the GETEMME core team

I. SYRTE, Observatoire de Paris - 2. IMCCE, Observatoire de Paris - 3. Royal Observatory of Belgium - 4. DLR

What is GETEMME ?

Gravity, Einstein's Theory, and Exploration of the Martian Moons' Environment

Heritage of space geodesy around the Earth

Heritage of radio-science experiments with probes

Heritage of how build an ephemeris

GETEMME = precise planetary geodesy in the martian system

Scientific motivations

Go back to basics with Earth geodesy

direct study of Earth gravitational field (static, dynamical)

> Earth precession/ nutation

Tidal dissipation in Earth/Moon system







Lunar Laser Ranging and fundamental physics





Now at centimer level...

And if inertial and gravitational masses are differents ? Signal on orbital motion of the Moon so test weak equivalence principle

VOLUME 36, NUMBER 11

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

15 March 1976

Verification of the Principle of Equivalence for Massive Bodies*

Irwin I. Shapiro and Charles C. Counselman, III Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

and

Robert W. King

Air Force Cambridge Research Laboratories, Bedford, Massachusetts 01731 (Received 10 December 1975)

Analysis of 1389 measurements, accumulated between 1970 and 1974, of echo delays of laser signals transmitted from Earth and reflected from cube corners on the Moon shows gravitational binding energy to contribute equally to Earth's inertial and passive gravitational masses to within the estimated uncertainty of 1.5%. The corresponding restriction on the Eddington-Robertson parameters is $4\beta - \gamma - 3 = -0.001 \pm 0.015$. Combination with other results, as if independent, yields $\beta = 1.003 \pm 0.005$ and $\gamma = 1.008 \pm 0.008$, in accord with general relativity.

But also:

• Test strong equivalence principle

Variation of gravitation constant G

First look at planetary geodesy

The key idea is the <u>precise</u> <u>monitoring of a probe's</u> <u>trajectory</u> by using radio-science technique.





A lot of dynamical frames involved in this task

But at the end, with Doppler data of the probe...

you can do the orbit + some physical informations

Mars as an example (MGS)

TES

Albedo

0.38

0.07



46th ESLAB conference, June 2012

-200

0

200

Gravity Anomaly [mgal]

600

400

planetary geodesy and relativity



Conjonction between Earth and Cassini, September 2003

Maximum of relativistic deflection

Nowadays best test of post-newtonian gravity Bertotti *et al.* 2003, *Nature*, **425**, 374

So what we do now



So what we do now



GETEMME: even we want to go beyond

Normally, orbits of Mars probes have:

- inclination with respect to the plane of the Mars equator
- an eccentricity

A lot of desaturations => precise orbit = arc of some days

Imagine now:

- equatorial circular orbit
- onboard accelerometer, only radio-science experiments
- possibility to do some space metrology with the moons (determination of Moon-probe distance)

And even we want to go beyond !

Normally, the orbits of Mars probes have:

- inclination with respect to the plane of the Mars equator
- an eccentricity

A lot of desaturations => precise orbit = arc of some days

Imagine now:

- equatorial circular orbit
- onboard accelerometer, only radio-science experiments
- possibility to do some space metrology with the moons (determination of Moon-probe distance)

longer arc of orbit, you are free from planetary ephemerides errors

And even we want to go beyond !

Normally, the orbits of Mars probes have:

- inclinaison with respect to the plane of the Mars equator
- an eccentricity

A lot of desaturations => precise orbit = arc of some days

Imagine now:

- equatorial circular orbit
- onboard accelerometer, only radio-science experiments
- possibility to do some space metrology with the moons (determination of Moon-probe distance)

longer arcs of orbit, you are free from planetary ephemerides errors

or... you can say that your moons can be seen as *natural* probes !

Why the martian system ?

Phobos and Deimos are rocky satellites, you can put on them some reflectors

Up to last November : complementary with Phobos Grunt
Exploration of Deimos

With the failure of Phobos Grunt, possibility to do a full experiment concerning the Martian moons

Phobos and Deimos have short orbital periods (7 and 30h):

- our Moon => roughly I month
- we are searching for secular precession (tide, relativity)

We need longer gravitational time for precession 30 yrs of LLR is roughly 413 orbits of the Moon 2yr of Phobos is 2500 orbits

GETEMME in technical words

GETEMME Mission Scenario

- Launch on Soyus Fregat
- Transfer to Mars with arrival 2 years after launch
- Deimos rendezvous, comprehensive mapping, and deployment of two Laser reflector stations
- Transfer to Phobos, mapping and deployment likewise...
- Transfer to final orbit (1500 km above Mars) and begin scientific Laser ranging campaign







Phobos

Mars

GETEMME Measurement Objectives

- Full characterization of Phobos and Deimos using imaging, altimetry, spectrophotometry, and radio science
- Range measurements between S/C and Phobos / Deimos for 1 Martian year, > 100,000 shots each
- Asynchronous two-way range measurement from S/C to Earth / from Earth to S/C for 1 Martian year, > 50,000 shots each
- Range measurement accuracy: meter- accuracy for single shots; centimeter-accuracy by normal point formation





GETEMME Measurement Objectives

- Full characterization of Phobos and Deimos using imaging, altimetry, spectrophotometry, and radio science
- Range measurements between S/C and Phobos / Deimos for 1 Martian year, > 100,000 shots each
- Asynchronous two-way range measurement from S/C to Earth / from Earth to S/C for 1 Martian year, > 50,000 shots each
- Range measurement accuracy: meter- accuracy for single shots; centimeter-accuracy by normal point formation



GETEMME Laser

- Three applications
 - Range measurements to Phobos / Deimos reflectors,
 - asynchroneous Earth-ranging,
 - classic altimetry
- Heritage: BELA (Bepi Colombo Laser Altimeter)



ACH ECT AD	f	T	2012
toth ESLAB	conference,	June	2012

Laser type	Nd:YAG	
Frequency	1064 nm	
Energy	50 mJ (BOL), 40 mJ (EOL)	
Repetition rate	10 Hz	
Pulse duration	3-8 ns	
Pulse width	5,5 ns +- 2,5 ns (50% of peak [FWHM])	
Beam Diameter	74 mm (Aperture of BEX)	
Beam Divergence	50 micro rad +- 10 micro rad	
Receiver sensor	Silicon APD (Avalanche Photo Diode)	
Telescope diameter	20 cm	
Focal Length	1250 mm	
Field of view telescope	450 micro rad	

GETEMME Reflector Stations

- 4 landers, 2 on each satellite near side (to allow measurements of librations)
 - low touch-down velocity: < 10 m/s
 - open cover after deployment
 - battery power for few hrs after landing
 - stay passively on the satellite surfaces for years ...





Retroreflectors / Heritage: Champ

GETEMME Link Budgets

Photon link budget for

reflector sizes





Photon link budget for Earth ranging



Challenge: delicate balance must be found between Laser power, reflector size, receiver size, Laser beam spreading, and Laser pointing accuracy

GETEMME Pointing Requirements

Laser operation characteristics

- Distance to Deimos: up to 20,000 km
- Laser spot size at Deimos: < 2 km
- Required beam divergence: < 100 μ rad (BELA: 50 μ rad)
- 100 μ rad \approx 0.01° (SRC pointing accuracy!)
- seems well feasible!

Is it possible to track a target with this required accuracy?

- Spacecraft speed wrt Deimos: > 2 km/s
- Must accurately track target at 0.01° / s for ≈ 1 hour
- may be challenging!

GETEMME Proposal Team:

Oberst, J. TU Berlin / DLR BA (D) – Lainey, V. IMCCE (F) - Le Poncin-Lafitte, C. SyRTE (F) - Dehant, V. ROB (B) -Rosenblatt, P. ROB (B) – Ulamec, S. DLR KP (D) – Biele, J. DLR KP (D) – Hoffmann, H. DLR BA (D) – Willner, K. TU Berlin (D) – Schreiber, U. Wettzell Obs. (D) – Rambaux, N. IMCCE (F) – Laurent, P. SyRTE (F) – Zakharov, A. IKI (R) – Foulon, B. ONERA (F) – Gurvits, L. JIVE (NL) – Murchie, S. APL (US) – Reed C. APL (US) – Turyshev S. G. JPL (US), Noyelles, B. FUNDP (F) - Gil, J. GMV (E) - Graziano, M. GMV (E) - Kahle, R. DLR OP (D) - Klein, V. Kayser Threde (D) - Pasewaldt, A. DLR BA (D) -Schlicht, A. Wettzell Obs (D) - Spurmann, J. DLR OP (D) -Wählisch, M. DLR BA (D) - Wickhusen, K. DLR BA (D)

Scientific numbers as a conclusions

This new way of precise planetary geodesy will lead to

Improve test of General Relativity by a factor 100 on pericenter precession

- Improve drastically our accuracy of Phobos and Deimos ephemerides
- Improve our knowledge of libration of Phobos and more generally of what we know about Martian moons

Parameter	2 years	4 years	Today accuracy
Temporal variations of J_2	9.2×10^{-15}	5.8×10^{-15}	5×10^{-10}
Secular variation of Mars J ₂	1.5×10^{-17}	2.7×10^{-18}	_
k ₂ (Solar tide)	2.0×10^{-6}	9.9×10^{-7}	1×10^{-2}
k ₂ (Phobos tide)	4.0×10^{-5}	2.0×10^{-5}	_
k ₂ (Deimos tide)	1.8×10^{-3}	8.5×10^{-4}	_

Table 1 Example of the expected accuracy on few key physical parameters of the Mars system,

after considering 2 and 4 years of GETEMME data, respectively

Parameter	2 years	4 years
Phobos c_{20}	1.5×10^{-5}	5.4×10^{-6}
Phobos c_{21}	3.0×10^{-7}	2.1×10^{-7}
Phobos c_{22}	2.6×10^{-6}	9.0×10^{-7}
Deimos c_{20}	1.5×10^{-3}	5.3×10^{-4}
Deimos c_{21}	3.2×10^{-6}	1.2×10^{-6}
Deimos c ₂₂	2.5×10^{-4}	9.0×10^{-5}



GETEMME

Gravity, Einstein's Theory, and Exploration of the Martian Moons' Environment

Thanks for your attention !